

**ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF  
THE UNITED STATES COURTS  
ROUTING SLIP**

TO

	Director, Meham
	Deputy Director, Macklin
	General Counsel, Burchill
	Legislative and Public Affairs Office, Feidler
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Office of Judicial Conference Secretariat, Siegel
	Director, EEO & Special Projects, Robinson
	Assistant Director, Administration, Karam
	Contracts & Services Division, Chief, Simmons
	Financial Management Division, Chief, Heising
	Personnel Division, Chief, Johnson
	Space & Facilities Division, Chief, Thacker
	Assistant Director, Automation & Statistics, Stoorza
	Statistical Analysis & Reports Division, Chief, Cook
	Systems Services Division, Chief, McBride
	Assistant Director, Planning & Evaluation, Lee
	Assistant Director, Program Management, McCabe
	Court Security Office, Cohan
	Intev Division, Chief, Szczebak

FROM

SFD

DATE

9-4-90

L. RALPH MECHAM  
DIRECTOR

JAMES E. MACKLIN, JR.  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE OF THE  
UNITED STATES COURTS

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20544

RAYMOND A. KARAM  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR  
FOR ADMINISTRATION

AUG 31 1990

MEMORANDUM TO: Senior Staff

SUBJECT: Housing for Judgeships in the Biden and Brooks Bills

We have reviewed the effect of the proposed judgeships in the combined Biden and Brooks bills on the Judiciary's housing capabilities. Attached is a housing status report -by district- which details how the housing requirements for the new judgeships in this year's legislation will be met.

I. Circuit Judgeships

There are 11 additional judgeships between the two bills. Because permanent locations for these judgeships cannot be identified, it is not possible to know which positions will require construction of chambers space.

II. District Judgeships

There are 74 additional ((60) permanent and (14) temporary) judgeships requiring accommodations:

A. Forty judgeships already have been planned for in a prior to 1990, 1990, 1991, or 1992 prospectus project (a project with General Services Administration costs exceeding \$1.5 million and which requires Congressional authorization) or have accommodations available.

B. Twenty-four facilities requirements do not appear in any budget request and must be budgeted;

C. Seven judgeships facilities have been included in the 1991 budget as non-prospectus projects and would be funded subject to Congressional appropriations and Executive Committee priorities; and

D. Three require the development of a new prospectus by the General Services Administration.

### III. Bankruptcy Judgeships

There are 12 proposed bankruptcy judgeships:

- A. Four can be accommodated through a prior to 1990, or 1990 prospectus, or already have accommodations available;
- B. Four facilities requirements do not appear in any budget request and must be budgeted;
- C. Two judgeships can be accommodated in the 1991 budget as part of a non-prospectus project (subject to Congressional appropriations and Executive Committee priorities); and
- D. Two require the development of a new prospectus by the General Services Administration.

The final location of judgeships is at the discretion of the districts and circuits. This housing status report may change, therefore, if decisions are made which change the probable locations of the proposed judgeships.

Questions concerning this document should be direct to Jerry Thacker at 633-6090.



Raymond A. Karam

HOUSING FOR PROPOSED NEW DISTRICT COURT JUDGESHIP:  
 JULY 15, 1990

CIRCUIT/ DISTRICT	PROBABLE LOCATION	Combined BIDEN & BROOKS	GSA 1990 PROGRAM	GSA 1991 PROGRAM	GSA 1992 PROGRAM	TO BE PART OF PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROSPECTUS (prior to 1990)	ACCOMMODATIONS ALREADY AVAILABLE	NEW PROSPECTUS NEEDED	RVA ISSUED TO GSA	NON-PROSPECTUS FUNDS REQUESTED IN 1991	NON-PROSPECTUS FUNDS REQUESTED IN 1992	FUNDS MUST BE BUDGETED FOR A NON-PROSPECTUS PROJECT
1/ME	Portland	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
1/MA	Worcester	1	-----	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
1/HR	Concord	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
2/CT	Hartford	2	-----	-----	-----	2	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
2/NY,H	Unknown	11	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
2/NY,E	Brooklyn	2	1	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
2/NY,S	Manhattan	1	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
3/NJ	Newark Camden Trenton	3	-----	1	-----	2	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
3/PA,E	Philadelphia	3,11	2	-----	-----	-----	2	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
3/PA,H	Williamsport	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----
3/VI	Charlotte- Amalie	1	-----	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
4/MD	New Division	0	(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
4/NC,H	Greensboro	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
4/SC	Greenville OR Charleston	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----
4/VA,E	Norfolk	11	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
4/WV,H	Elkins	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
4/WV,S	Charleston	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----	-----



CIRCUIT/ DISTRICT	POTENTIAL LOCATION	Combined AIDEN & BROOKS	GSA 1990 PROGRAM	GSA 1991 PROGRAM	GSA 1992 PROGRAM	TO BE PART OF PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROSPECTUS (prior to 1990)	ACCOMMODATIONS ALREADY AVAILABLE	NEW PROSPECTUS NEEDED	RVA ISSUED TO GSA	NON-PROSPECTUS FUNDS REQUESTED IN 1991	NON-PROSPECTUS FUNDS REQUESTED IN 1992	FUNDS NOT TO BE BUDGETED FOR A NON-PROSPECTUS PROJECT
9/CA,H	San Jose San Francisco	2	-----	-----	-----	1 SF	-----	1 SJ	-----	-----	-----	-----
9/CA,E	Sacramento	1T	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
9/CA,C	Los Angeles	5	-----	-----	-----	5	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
9/CA,S	San Diego	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----
9/HI	Honolulu	1T	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
9/NV	Las Vegas	0	-----	-----	-----	-----	(1)	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
9/OR	Portland	1	-----	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
9/WA,E	Spokane	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----
10/KS	Kansas City	1T	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
10/NM	Albuquerque	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
10/OK,H	Tulsa	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----	-----
10/OK,W	Oklahoma City	1	-----	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
10/UT	Salt Lk City	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----	-----
10/WY	Cheyenne	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
11/AL,H	Birmingham	1T	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
11/FL,H	Panama City	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
11/FL,H	Tampa or Orlando	2	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	1
11/FL,S	Miami	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
11/GA,H	Albany or Valdosta	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
		74	7	6	3	14	7	3	3	7	0	24

T = TEMPORARY POSITION

( ) = Judgeships previously approved by the Judicial Conference,  
but not included in either bill.

HOUSING FOR PROPOSED NEW BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGESHIPS  
AUGUST 20, 1990

CIRCUIT/ DISTRICT	PROBABLE LOCATION	Combined BIDEN & BROOKS	GSA 1990 PROGRAM	GSA 1991 PROGRAM	GSA 1992 PROGRAM	TO BE PART OF PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PROSPECTUS (prior to 1990)	ACCOMMODATIONS ALREADY AVAILABLE	NEW PROSPECTUS NEEDED	RWA ISSUED TO GSA	NON-PROSPECTUS FUNDS REQUESTED IN 1991	NON-PROSPECTUS FUNDS REQUESTED IN 1992	FUNDS MUST BE BUDGETED FOR A NON-PROSPECTUS PROJECT
1/PR	San Juan or Hato Rey	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	(1) *	-----	-----	-----	-----
3/PAE	Philadelphia	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
4/MD	Rockville/Southern Div.	1	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
4/VAE	Norfolk	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
6/TNH	Nashville	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
9/AZ	Phoenix or Tucson	2	-----	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
10/CO	Denver	1	-----	-----	-----	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
11/FLS	Miami	1	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
11/FLH	Tampa or Orlando	2	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	2	-----	-----
11/GAH	Atlanta	1	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	1
		12	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0	5

\* If the position is located in San Juan then a new prospectus will be needed.